



MEETING MINUTES

PROJECT: 510 Floodplain Management Plan
NAME OF MEETING: Committee Meeting #2
RECORDED BY: Freese and Nichols, Inc.
DATE: August 4, 2015
LOCATION: Hazel Harvey Peace center for Neighborhoods
ATTENDEES:

City Staff

Clair Davis – CFW SW
Cindy Robinson - CFW SW
Jennifer Dyke – CFW SW
Mary Hanna – CFW SW
Juan Ortiz – CFW OEM
Joel McElhany – CFW PACS
Art Basher – CFW Legal

Committee Members

LaWayne Houser – Resident
Joe Waller – Resident
Larry Langston – Resident
Libby Willis – FWLNA
Joe Schneider – Hillwood
Mike Dellies - Dunaway
Mikel Wilkins - Verdunity

Other Attendees

John Morris – Resident
David Ludwig – Hope Church
Jerry Roberts – Hope Church
Ryan Hill – Shield Engineering
Travis Patton – Shield Engineering
Ron Rogers, Velina Willis – OCG PR
Scott Hubley, Katie Hogan – FNI

The following reflects our understanding of the items discussed during the subject meeting. If you do not notify us within five working days, we will assume that you are in agreement with our understanding.

ITEM	DESCRIPTION	PRESENTER
1.	Introductions of committee members and City Staff	All
2.	Clair and Scott gave a recap of the first committee meeting discussion and went over the agenda items.	Clair/Scott
3.	Clair mentioned that it is intended for the City to review this 510 plan annually with on-going committee participation.	Clair
4.	<p>Scott gave an overview of the Step 4 Hazard Assessment section of the report. The committee provided the following feedback and comments regarding these sections:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">1. Incorporate projections of future meteorological events and rainfall data based on changing climate.2. Known hazards include transportation systems and lack of inlets. Work with TXDOT to improve these systems.3. For future updates, it may be beneficial to revise the statistics based on more detailed information.4. The data regarding buildings inside the floodplain were based on horizontal locations and not FFE. It may be beneficial to incorporate FFEs in these counts in the future.5. It would be helpful to be more specific as to the locations of the Severe Repetitive Loss Structures. City Response: These locations are protected so limited information can be released.6. Incorporate possible earthquake impacts to dams and levees. TRWD did look at Eagle Mountain dam and the impact on earthquakes. There is some information available and TCEQ as well as USACE perform regular inspections.	All

5.	<p>Scott summarized the Step 5 Assess the Problem to the committee. The Committee reviewed the report section and provided the following comments:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. During the Hurricanes Katrina and Rita in 2005, the City of Fort Worth processed 35,000 people to temporary shelters and apartment complexes. At a time there were less than 3000 people in shelters. There was a 6 week period from the first person to arrive for shelter to the last person who left the shelter to a temporary shelter or apartment. The City has a target of providing shelter for 3,000-4,000 people at a time and moving to temporary homes as soon as possible. If an event were to happen in the City, coordination with neighboring communities is also a possibility if shelter is needed. 2. It should be noted that shelter is provided after a storm event has happened, not during a storm because of other hazards that frequently occur during a storm (hail, tornadoes). 3. There may be an impact to naming specific businesses that do not have flood insurance in the report. It would be better to generalize the type of business rather than specifically stating their names. 4. It would be beneficial to have a targeted outreach program to promote flood insurance. The Committee could potentially assist with this program. 5. Determine the reason for City-owned buildings not having insurance. Clair explained that the city is self-insured so many buildings may not be insured through NFIP but are insured at the City. In particular, the committee questioned the Cowtown Coliseum and whether it needs insurance because it is a historic structure. 	All
6.	The goals for Step 6 were revisited and the Committee did not have comments.	Scott/All
7.	Steps 7 and 8 were discussed together to brainstorm possible mitigation actions the City could do to reduce flood hazard impacts. The Committee provided suggestions on each type of mitigation activity. Refer to draft action plan for additional information. Blue text indicates input received at the meeting.	All

ACTION ITEMS			
WHAT	WHO	WHEN	STATUS
1. Develop Mitigation Action Plan	FNI	Aug-Sep	On Going
2. Hold 3 rd Committee Meeting	City/FNI	Late Sep	Pending
3. Hold 2 nd Public Meeting	City/FNI	Late Sep	Pending